

JUNE 30, 2016 CONSUMPTION REPORT VARIANCES

Subdivision	(A) 2015 Use	(B) Revised 2015 Use	(C) 2016 Use	(D) 2016 Projection	[(B) - (D)]/(B) Variance (%)	Question	Answer
Army - Unmetered	255.00	205.80	110.60	221.20	-7%	N/A	2015 Use has been revised to reflect the use factor of .28 AF/EDU per the Urban Water Management Plan approved by the Board June 6, 2016
Stilwell Park	33.74	33.74	6.57	13.14	61%	why so low in 2016?	Per Conservation Dept, landscape irrigation has been significantly further
Hayes Park	53.40	53.40	17.36	34.72	35%	why so low in 2016 even after significant cuts in 2015?	Staff is investigating
East Garrison	71.62	71.62	23.81	47.62	34%	Why so variable in 2014, 2015, 2016	Staff is investigating
CSUMB	103.30	103.30	37.57	75.14	27%	why so low in 2016 even after significant cuts in 2015?	Per Conservation Dept, landscape irrigation has been significantly further
Preston Park	74.88	51.89	21.57	43.14	17%	why so low in 2016 even after significant cuts in 2014, 2015?	Corrected misread consumption that was included in 2015
Preston Shelter	26.97	5.36	3.07	6.14	-15%	why so high in 2015?	Corrected misread consumption that was included in 2015
University Villages	90.73	44.81	13.27	26.54	41%	why so high in 2015?	The meters that showed high consumption in 2015 were during construction and have been converted to residential users
Seaside Highlands	146.63	123.65	46.73	93.46	24%	why so low in 2016?	Per Conservation Dept, landscape irrigation has been significantly further